



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

34
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/874,565	06/05/2001	Gregory Stinis	SKYTYPE.002A	5514
20995	7590	05/03/2005	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2674	

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/874,565	STINIS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kimnhung Nguyen	2674

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 November 2004.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 2-26,29-48,53,54,56-67 and 73-95 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) 2-26, 29-48, 53, 54, 56-67 and 73-95 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____.

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121
 - I. Claims 1-31, 73-83, drawn to system for creating aerial messages using a plurality of aircraft, classified in class 40, subclass 213.
 - II. Claims 32-41, drawn to control box for controlling emission of the vapor material, classified in class 700.
 - III. Claims 42-45, 48, drawn to GUI + database + creating stimulating, classified in class 715.
 - IV. Claims 46-47, drawn to internet protocol and wireless network, classified in class 709.
 - V. Claim 53, drawn to file management, classified in class 707.
 - VI. Claims 54, 90-95, drawn to a method and system repositioning aircraft, classified in class 340.
 - VII. Claims 56-67, drawn to a method forming characters, classified in class 345.
 - VIII. Claims 84-89, drawn to coordination of action between the aircraft, classified in class 701.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I-VIII are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a system for creating aerial messages, so group I does not require a control box for controlling emission of the

vapor material of group II; GUI + data base + creating stimulating of group III; internet protocol and wireless network of group IV; creating file management of group V; a method and system repositioning aircraft of group VI; a method forming characters for an aerial message of group VII; and coordination of action between the aircraft of group VIII. In the instant case, invention II does not require invention of groups I, III-VIII. In the instant case, invention III does not require invention of groups I, II and VI-VIII. In the instant case, invention IV does not require invention of groups I-III and V-VIII. In the instant case, invention V does not require invention of groups I-IV and VI-VIII. In the instant case, invention VI does not require invention of groups I-V and VII-VIII. In the instant case, invention VII does not require invention of groups I-VI and VIII. In the instant case, invention VIII does not require invention of groups I-VII. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was made to Mr. Arthur S. Rose on 4/25/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7698. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI, FROM 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Edouard can be reached on (571) 272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kimnhung Nguyen
April 25, 2005



ALEXANDER EISEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER